In accordance with the Constitution, I wish to call in the decision taken at Cabinet on the 16th June 2016 in relation to the Provision of Effective Prevention Services for Children And Young People Including Optimising The Use Of Children's Centre Buildings.

We believe that decision c) to g) taken by Cabinet on the 16th June 2016 in regards to the Provision of Effective Prevention Services for Children And Young People Including Optimising The Use Of Children's Centre Buildings have not been taken in accordance with the principles of decision-making as detailed in Article 7 of the Council's Constitution.

Specifically we believe that Cabinet:

• has failed to demonstrate a clarity of aims and desired outcomes in that the report does not clarify which prevention/early help services will or will not be consulted on and may be impacted. It is unclear how the overall vision for 0-19 prevention services has been developed and what it actually is, especially given the failure of the original 0-19 tendering process.

has failed to demonstrate it has conducted and taken account of due consultation.
Cabinet has failed to demonstrate that it has taken account of the original Children Centre consultation exercise in which the majority of responses were supportive of the current approach. In addition the Cabinet report does not explain what the new consultation process will consist of, who will be consulted for each Children Centre and in what manner, and how the results of this exercise will be analysed and reported. Cabinet has also failed to demonstrate that the consultation process will be understood by consultees and the public as the Cabinet report makes no references to the specific services being consulted on for each Children Centre.

• has failed to demonstrate clear reasoning for the decisions being taken. It is unclear how the overall vision for 0-19 prevention services has been developed and what it actually is, especially given the failure of the original 0-19 tendering process. As the majority of those originally consulted were also supportive of the current approach Cabinet has failed to demonstrate clear reasoning as to why these consultation results were ignored.

• has failed to demonstrate it has fully taken into account the full implications of the decisions taken. As the report does not specify which services will and will not continue to be provided and to what degree, the Cabinet cannot make an assessment of the implication of their decision as they do not know who will be impacted by their decision.

• has failed to demonstrate it has acted within an open and transparent manner in that the Cabinet report does not contain details of the services that are to be consulted upon, it does not contain details of how the consultation process will be carried out and reported back, it does not contain details of what went wrong with the 0-19 tendering process or the views of Health Partners on that tendering process and the current approach being taken.

Peter McDonald Paul Denham Graham Vickery Luke Mallett Andy Fry Pat Agar Joe Baker Robin Lunn Pattie Hill